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Abstract: This article provides an historical account of the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh and how the issue of Islamic law has been debated. The study will give more emphasis on the dynamics of the implementation of Islamic law, its historical development, typologies of Islamic law, leaders’ opinions regarding this issue, and the governments’ responses. This study argues that Islamic law in Aceh has been misinterpreted merely as \[
\text{H}^{\text{i}}\text{dud}\]
law. In addition, it argues that the provincial government tends to put heavy emphasis on symbolic religious issues (such as the Islamic dress code and the usage of Arabic signs and letterheads), rather than the substance of Islamic law such as justice and prosperity for all. Finally, the study has made evident that implementing Islamic law is never a good method of attempting to resolve conflict. There is no need to establish Islamic law formally through the political process because, when politics enters in religious arena, it carries with it many interests.
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Introduction

J.N.D. Anderson in his remarkable book, *Islamic Law in the Modern World*, maintains that the legal systems of the Muslim world today can be broadly divided into three groups: (1) those that still consider the shari'ah as the fundamental law and still practice it to a certain extent in their countries; (2) those that have abandoned the shari'ah and become

\(^1\) This article is part of my project which is funded by AMAN (Asian Muslim Action Network), Bangkok, Thailand in 2004. I am greatly indebted to Abdus Sabur, Imtiyaz Yusuf, Alan Feinstein, Bornie, Patrick Jory, for their valuable comments on the draft.
secular, and; (3) those that have reached some compromise between these two positions.²

In this context, it can be said that to a large degree the Southeast Asian states that have a Muslim majority—Malaysia, Indonesia, and Brunei Darussalam—also apply Islamic law. Historically, shari‘ah was implemented in conjunction with local customary law (adat) and/or colonial law.³ In addition, customary law included certain aspects of Buddhist and Hindu traditions, which had arrived several centuries earlier. In the thirteenth century, Islam came to Southeast Asia and Islamized the traditions.⁴ Islamic law developed within the region and was practiced in certain socio-cultural-political situations. It served as an important factor in unifying Islamic kingdoms in Southeast Asia.⁵

In the fifteenth century where Aceh was under colonialism, Islamic law began to be replaced by colonial legal systems in the areas of commerce and trade as well as in certain political institutions, such as judiciaries and central or local administrations, to the extent that colonialism demanded.⁶

After independence, numerous Islamic groups pressured their governments to cease using colonial law and replace it with Islamic law. In Indonesia, this movement has persisted since Kartosuwiryo and his allies advocated Islamic statehood for Indonesia and the use of Islamic law throughout the country.⁷ In Malaysia, the 1957 constitution placed Islam firmly within the state structure while at the same time
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guaranteeing religious freedom for non-Muslims.\(^8\) Subsequently in parts of Malaysia, Islamic law has been used to replace the earlier law of the British Empire. In Indonesia, while the Dutch colonial law is still used, some aspects of Islamic law are being practiced in several provinces, such as Aceh and other parts of Sumatra as well as parts of Java.

For several decades leaders in Aceh has urged their national governments (Indonesia and Malaysia, respectively) to allow them to implement Islam in their own province. In Aceh, Daud Beureueh, the most prominent Acehnese Islamic leader, asked Indonesian President Sukarno to have Aceh implement Islamic law and be granted special autonomy.\(^9\) Daud Beureueh used a radical strategy and revolted against the Sukarno regime. This movement has been continued by the Aceh Liberation Movement (GAM), which was formerly led by Tgk. Hasan di Tiro, a descendant of Tgk. Chik Di Tiro, an Acehnese hero of the Dutch-Aceh War. Aceh has finally achieved new status. In 1999, the Indonesian government granted Aceh the status of “special autonomy” (\(otonomi khusus\)) and allowed it to implement Islamic law. By issuing Regulation UU 44/1999, the Indonesian government formalized the opportunity for Aceh to apply Islamic law. GAM, however, rejected this status and asked that Aceh secede from Indonesia.\(^10\) Some studies have maintained that Indonesia’s granting of special autonomy to Aceh province is not the best way to solve the problem.\(^11\) In any event, even with the implementation of special autonomy, conflicts remain in which the central government continues to attempt to resolve through


\(^9\) For Daud’s statement, see Herbert Feith and Lance Castles (eds.), *Pemikiran Politik Indonesia 1945-1965*, pp. 208-211.


martial law (*darurat militer*). At the same time, the Islamic courts in Aceh have produced several Islamic laws (*qanun*) to implement the law.

This article focuses on the application of Islamic law in Aceh, Indonesia. The most interesting issue concerns the dynamics of how Islamic law has been implemented in the place thus far and how this issue has become the source of conflict in the province. In Aceh, we will see how the conflict between GAM and the Indonesian military (TNI) has resulted in serious impacts on the implementation of Islamic law. The aspects that this study will analyze are: the historical development of the implementation of Islamic law, typologies of Islamic law, leaders’ opinions regarding this issue, and the governments’ responses. In addition, it will observe the various historical, political, economic, and social factors—at both national and international levels—that have significantly contributed to the movement to implement Islamic law in Aceh. In these ways this research will provide empirically rich analyses of how Islamic law has raised issues in particular places at specific times.

This study will also explore the socio-political backdrop to the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh. It is important to understand the sociological implications of the law and the political causes of its emergence in relation to certain political and social contexts. Since the implementation of Islamic law is not a single narrative, it cannot be separated from the history of Aceh as a whole. In addition, it will pose the question of why challenges to the existence of Islamic law are coming not only from the non-Islamic community, but also from the Islamic community itself. Finally, this study will provide a sociological understanding of religious law as a source of conflict and identity.

**Re-Oriention on Islamic Law**

This section aims to shed light on some definitions of Islamic law and issues relevant to this subject. There are many books, articles,
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theses or dissertations, as well as research reports explaining Islamic law through various languages and perspectives. The main concern in this section is the actual Islamic law, since scholars have referred to Islamic law in various ways: fiqh, shariʿah, al-ahkām al-Islāmiyyah, and Islamic jurisprudence. The debate on this issue has serious implications for realizing Islamic law as a tool in Muslims’ daily life. As A. Qodri Azizy states, “many people are confused in understanding Islamic law, because they think that Islamic law is identical with the shariʿah or even the wahy (revelation) of God.”

To explore this point, let us begin with the broad definition given by Joseph Schacht, who describes Islamic law as

The epitome of Islamic thought, the most typical manifestation of the Islamic way of life, the core and kernel of Islam itself. The very term fiqh, ‘knowledge’, shows that early Islam regarded knowledge of the sacred Law as the knowledge par excellence.

Zafar Ishaq Ansari begins his foreword with Schacht’s opinion, but goes further by saying that “there can be no denying that among
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the world’s religions, law occupies a distinctively important position in Islam, a position possibly no less important than in the religious tradition of Judaism.”  

The position of Islamic law in Islam is very important for those who embrace this religion. Muslims are expected to study how to apply Islamic law in daily life. According to Akh. Minhaji, it is not an exaggeration to say that “there is no subject more important for students of Islam than what is usually called Islamic law.”  

Minhaji has compiled the interpretations of scholars who discussed the importance of law in Islam. First, Islam is a religion of law. Second, law is the distilled essence of the civilization of a people and since it reflects civilizations in general, there can be no doubt that is particularly true of the world of Islam. Third, it is impossible to understand the Muslim mind, Muslim society, Muslim ideals, politics, and reactions without some knowledge of that law, which still molds and pervades them all. Fourth, law is the heart of Islam; this is a proposition that has been generally accepted within the ranks of the unbelievers as well as believers, and it forms the basis of much of Islamic scholarship. Fifth, for many devout Muslims, traditionalist and modernist alike, Islam without the law is unimaginable. Sixth, the *shari‘ah* was a way of life, which for Muslims constituted the core of Islam. Seventh, it is impossible to understand Islam without understanding Islamic law. Eighth, Islamic law will always remain one of the most important, if not the most important, subjects of study for the student of Islam.

From the statements above, it can be argued that Islamic law comprises the whole aspect of Islamic teaching. Unfortunately, when we explore what really constitutes Islamic law, we encounter many different perceptions. Some questions are to be posed; when is Islamic law considered as *shari‘ah*? When is Islamic law considered as *fiqh*? As Mashood A. Baderin notes, “Islamic law, strictly speaking, is not monolithic. Its jurisprudence accommodates a pluralistic interpretation
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of its sources, thereby producing differences in juristic opinions that can be quite significant in a comparative legal analysis.\textsuperscript{21}

The terms \textit{fiqh} and \textit{shari'ah} have similar meanings. \textit{Fiqh} is used in the literal sense to mean “understanding” (\textit{al-fahm}).\textsuperscript{22} Basically, the meaning of the term \textit{fiqh} is usually similar to words such as \textit{'ilm} (knowledge) and \textit{kalaam} (theology).\textsuperscript{23} The term \textit{'ilm} has the same meaning, and in the era of the Prophet, there appears to have been no difference between the two terms.\textsuperscript{24} According to Imran Ahsan Khan Nyazee, “as sophistication crept in, the term \textit{'ilm} came to be applied in a narrow sense to mean knowledge that comes through report, that is, traditions: \textit{bdli} and \textit{atha}. The term \textit{fiqh} … came to be used exclusively for knowledge of the law.”\textsuperscript{25} Thus, the terms \textit{'ilm} and \textit{fiqh} were separated when specialization in law and tradition came into existence toward the end of the first century of Hijrah.\textsuperscript{26}

Furthermore, the terms \textit{kalaam} and \textit{fiqh} were not separated until the time of al-Ma'mun (d.218 A.H.). During this time \textit{fiqh} constituted both theological problems and legal issues.\textsuperscript{27} That is why Abu Hanifah (d. 150 A.H.) defined \textit{fiqh} as \textit{ma'rifah al-nafs ma}la'aha\textsuperscript{}\textit{wa ma'alayha}\textsuperscript{28} (understanding the self in terms of one’s rights and duties). This means that \textit{fiqh} concerns understanding Muslims’ rights and obligations. However, when the Mu'tazilah\textsuperscript{29} began to use the term \textit{kalaam} for their teaching, the term \textit{fiqh} came to be restricted to the corpus of Islamic law. This differentiation has serious implications for the study of Islamic law.

\textsuperscript{21} Mashhood A. Baderin, \textit{International Human Rights and Islamic Law}, p. 33.
\textsuperscript{25} Imran Ahsan Khan Nyazee, \textit{Theories of Islamic Law}, p. 21.
\textsuperscript{26} Ahmad Hassan, \textit{Pintu Ijtihad Sebelum Tertutup}, pp. 4-5.
\textsuperscript{27} Imran Ahsan Khan Nyazee, \textit{Theories of Islamic Law}, p. iv.
\textsuperscript{28} Abdul Azis Dahlan et.al. (eds.), \textit{Ensiklopedi Hukum Islam}, 333. For detailed of Abu Hanifah, see Ahmad Syurbashi, \textit{Al-Aimmah al-Arba'ah} (Beyrouth: Dar al-Jil, n.d.), pp. 14-68.
There are many definitions of fiqh. ‘Abdul Wahhab al-Khallaf defines fiqh as: “al-‘ilm bi al-ahâl ibâd al-shari‘ah al-‘amaliyyah al-muktasib min adillatiha al-tafsîryah (the knowledge of the legal rules pertaining to conduct that have been derived from specific evidence).” Al-Khallaf also highlights another definition of fiqh as: “majmu‘ al-abîl ibâd al-shari‘ah al-‘amaliyyah al-mustafahab min adillatiha al-tafsîryah (the compilation of the legal rules pertaining to conduct that have been derived from specific evidence).” Abu Ishaq al-Sirazi defined fiqh as: ma‘rifat al-ahâl ibâd al-shari‘ah al-‘amaliyyah al-musala‘ah (the knowledge of legal rules that derived by the process of ijtihad). It also can be defined as a “statement concerning the understanding of the speaker of the meaning of his speech” (‘iba‘ah ‘an fahmi gharadhi al-mutakallimu min kalâbihi). It is perhaps safe to say that fiqh is the finding of Islamic law from the main sources (the Qur’an and Sunnah) through ijtihad.

The term shari‘ah means the source of drinking water. For the Arabs, shari‘ah means religion, al-tariqah al-mustaqim (the right way), and al-nus’h al-muqqaddas (sacred texts) from the Qur’an and Sunnah. Schacht says that shari‘ah is “the sacred law of Islam. “He goes further, by stating”. It is an all-embracing body of religious duties, the totality of Allah’s commands that regulate the life of every Muslim in all aspects; it consists of ordinances regarding worship and ritual, as well as political and (in the narrow sense) legal rules.”

In other cases, scholars differentiate between shari‘ah and fiqh. First, while shari‘ah comes from Allah, fiqh is the product of human interpretation. Second, there is only one shari‘ah, while fiqh implies

30 Abdul Wahhab Khalaf, Jawi Usb al-Fiqh (Beirut: Dar al-Qalam, 1978), p. 11. This may translated into English as: the knowledge of the shari‘ah (legal rules), pertaining to conduct, that have been derived from their specific evidences.
31 Abdul Wahhab Khalaf, Jawi Usb al-Fiqh, p. 12.
33 Akh Minhaji, Ahmad Hassan and Islamic Legal Reform in Indonesia (1887-1959) (Yogyakarta: Kurnia Kalam Semesta Press, 2001), p. 94.
34 Abdul Azis Dahlan et.al. (eds.), Ensiklopedi Hukum Islam, p. 335.
36 Joseph Schacht, An Introduction to Islamic Law, p. 1.
diversity. Third, *shari'a* is very authoritative while *fiqh* is very liberal, since it is a human product. Fourth, *shari'a* is not subject to change; on the contrary, *fiqh* faces many changes through socio-cultural dynamics. Fifth and finally, *shari'a* is idealistic while *fiqh* is realistic.37

It is noteworthy when I speak about Islamic law I am referring to *fiqh*, not *shari'a*. In this context, *fiqh* as human interpretation has produced Islamic law or the Islamic legal system. There are at least four types of Islamic legal literature: *kutub al-fiqh* (books on Islamic jurisprudence), decrees of the Islamic courts, laws and regulations by Muslim countries, and *fatwa* (legal pronouncements of jurists).38 In this case, the application of Islamic law in Aceh falls into the category of laws and regulations by a Muslim country.

Moreover, the development of Islamic law, as Minhaji states, passed through three periods.39 The first period, in the seventh century C.E is usually called the formative era,40 during which Islamic law was very flexible and adapted itself to the local customs of societies. The second period dates from approximately the fourteenth century, when Islamic law was supposedly expounded definitively by the orthodox legal schools (i.e. Maliki, Hanafi, Syafi'i, and Hanbali), and when Muslims throughout the central Islamic lands elected to follow one of these four schools. In this era, Islamic law was considered to have become immutable. Consequently, it became increasingly rigid and static, a phenomenon that eventually resulted in the controversial notion of *insida al-ijtihab* or the closing of the gate of creative legal reasoning.41 This era ended at the end of the nineteenth century C.E.,

40 On the formative era, see generally Wael B. Hallaq, A History of Islamic Legal Theories, pp. 1-35.
when the Islamic nation-state began to emerge, along with growing consciousness of the need for legal reform. The third and current stage of development arose out of an impression on the part of scholars that they were unable to resolve new legal problems with the aid of classical Islamic law.

To resolve legal problems in modern life, Muslims need to reform Islamic law. Consequently, many Muslim countries have adopted one of three models, as I stated in earlier. The reformers were compelled to search for methods in which Islamic law could be interpreted, modified, and applied to meet the needs of modern society. For the most part, Islamic law reform has been actively enacted only in the case of marriage (al-ahda al-shakhshiyyah) and mu'aashalah (Islamic social affairs). According to Mudzhar, there are two broad types of Islamic law reform. The first, intra-doctrinal reform, combines many types of Islamic legal thinking. The second, extra-doctrinal reform, gives new interpretations to Islamic texts. These methods of reform, widely used in contemporary Muslim societies, according to Anderson as quoted by Minhaji, manifest themselves through five methods.

First, procedural expediency is a method that has given a much wider and more significant application to the principle of takhsis al-qada, the right of the ruler to define and confine the jurisdiction of the courts. Second, eclectic expediency is known in Arabic as takhayyur, that is, a suitable viewpoint selected from amongst various opinions in order to fulfill the needs arising from new demands of Muslim society. Third, the expedient of re-interpretation has been used by reformers to re-interpret the classical texts in emphasizing the importance of practicing ijtihaad. Fourth, administrative regulation seems to be the basis for all previous methods. Fifth, reform by juridical decision is a
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method by which the application of Islamic law made by a series of judicial decisions.46

A Brief Overview on Aceh

Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam is located in the northern-most part of Sumatra, or in the western-most part of the archipelago of 17,000 islands that make up Indonesia. It covers an area of 57,365.57 square kilometers,47 with a population of 3.929 million.48 The composition of population based on religious affiliation is as follows: Muslims (97 percent), Christians (2.3 percent), Hindus (0.01 percent), Buddhists (0.37 percent), and others (0.02 percent).49 The most densely populated area is Banda Aceh (3,010 people/km²) while West Aceh is sparsely populated (13-18 people/km²). Aceh is home to numerous ethnic groups: the Acehnese, who comprise the largest group, live on the coastal areas to the hinterland; the Gayo, in Central and Southeast Aceh; the Aneuk Jame, in West Aceh, the southern part of South Aceh down to coastal areas bordering North Sumatra, and on the east coast of Banyak island; the Singkel, southeast of South Aceh; the Kluet, in the central of South Aceh; the Alas, south of Southeast Aceh; the Pulau, on Seumeulu Island; and the Tamiang, south of Aceh.

Aceh is rich in oil and natural gas, accounting for 13 per cent of Indonesia’s oil production. The P.T. Arun oil fields generate US$ 4 million a day, nearly all of which goes to Jakarta. Its qualified natural gas is partly owned by Mobil Oil Corporation (35 percent), and partly by the Indonesian state company Pertamina (55 percent). Aceh is also said to be the second largest producer of marijuana in Southeast Asia, which is almost entirely for export, and all sides of the armed conflict are said to be involved in this trade.50

Over the course of Aceh’s history, interaction with foreigners, including Indians, Chinese, Arabs, and Europeans, has brought about

49 Ibid., p. 161.
lasting influences not only in terms of culture but also in the physical appearance of the people. If we travel through this region we will also encounter many models of cultures and traditions, some of which were adopted from Indian and Arab traditions. Similar to Kelantan, Aceh is a major gateway of Islamic studies in Southeast Asia, and is known as the Serambi Mekkah (Verandah of Mecca) in Indonesia. The process of Islamization in Aceh is important to understand because it sheds light on the position of Islam in Southeast Asia, especially in Indonesia. There are many theories about the coming of Islam to Aceh. Most scholars agree that it arrived, not in the thirteenth century AD from Gujarat, but as early as the seventh century AD from Saudi Arabia. Karel A. Steenbrink, an Indonesianist from the Netherlands who has written several books on Indonesia, says before the thirteenth century AD many “Muslims came to Indonesia” and “Indonesians embraced Islam.” According to this source, before the thirteenth century most Acehnese had already become Muslim through contact with travelers from the Middle East and South Asia.

There are many reasons why the spread of Islam became noticeable in and around Aceh. According to Yusny Saby, Arab merchants happened to control the trade routes in the Indian Ocean long before the birth of the Prophet, and thus they facilitated the spread of Islam later. Personal contacts also played an important role in the Islamization process. In Aceh many Arab travelers married Acehnese women, and in this way, contact with the indigenous

population became even closer. However Atho Mudzhar argues that despite the fact that visiting Arab, Persian, and Indian merchants played a crucial role in commercial relations, their contribution to the introduction of Islam in the region was limited. In his opinion, the actual dissemination of Islam among the local people and their mass conversion to Islam were due to the untiring efforts of Indian, particularly Bengali, Sufi (mystic) preachers who accompanied the merchants on their visits to the local rulers.

Aceh attracted traders from the East and West for its pepper and other spices; in addition, its sultans’ patronage of religious teaching caused the court to be surrounded by learned scholars. This means that learned scholars have played an important role in Aceh, especially for the Islamic kingdoms. Historically, the ulama in Aceh always served as advisors for the rulers in the role of mufti or qadhi malikul adil. Moreover, in 1582 two scholars from Mecca reportedly arrived in Aceh, and at about the same time there came from Gujarat a Shaykh Muhammad Jaylani, who was a popular teacher of logic, rhetoric, and jurisprudence. To meet the demand for guidance in mysticism, he left several years to study in Mecca, returning to Aceh afterwards. Nurdin Ar-Raniri in Bustan al-Salat, however, tells a slightly different version of the story in which the arrival occurred not in 1582, but in 1580 and the names of the scholars are Shaykh Abu al-Khayr ibn Ibn Hajar and Shaykh Muhammad Yamani. The former was the author of Al-Sayf al-Qati, a book dealing with Sufi thought concerning the problematic nature of the third metaphysical category between being and non-being: (the fixed essences). Shaykh Muhammad al-Yamani taught the science of the sources (al-usul), that is, the sciences relating to the Holy Qur’an; the Tradition of the Holy Prophet (al-Sunnah); the Consensus

59 Ibid.
61 Ibid.
of Opinion or Agreement (al-ijma) and the traditions relating to the Companions (al-
atha’ir). It should be pointed out that in Aceh Islamic teaching was limited to Sufism issues and serious implications of Islamic thought. Consequently, before Nurdin Ar-Raniri came to Aceh, the most prominent issues still concerned with mysticism. The ulama in Aceh who concerned with Sufism were Hamzah Fansuri (1550-1600) and Syamsuddin As-Sumatrani (d. 1629). The former, who lived in Barus on the west coast of northern Sumatra was said to be the greatest Malay Sufi poet and the first man to translate Sufi doctrines and metaphysics into Malay, and his probable disciple, Syamsuddin al-Sumatrani, was considered as Shaykh al-Isla of Aceh. He advised the sultan on religious and cultural matters and also acted on his behalf in diplomatic and international affairs. But when Nurdin Ar-Raniri returned to Aceh in 1637, he was appointed as the Shaykh al-Isla during the Sultanate of Iskandar Sani who was against the doctrine of wujudiyah, and advised the sultan to burn the works of Hamzah Fansuri.

In the seventeenth century A.D., four queens reigned over Aceh in succession, and in the eighteenth century sultans of Arab blood (Sayyid) came to the throne. Before they reigned, from 1607 to 1637, Aceh was ruled by Sultan Iskandar Muda, a vigorous and conquering ruler who subjugated Deli (1610), Johor (1613), Pahang (1616), Kedah (1619), Perak (1620), and Nias (1624), and attempted to re-conquer Malacca (1626). Iskandar Muda is credited with having brought
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Islamic reforms to Aceh and promulgating the statutes that would make Aceh an Islamic state. In addition, he also attached himself and his kingdom to the consultation of the ulama.

The collaboration between the ulama and rulers brings about the implementation of Islamic law. Sultans appointed ulama to be the mufti or qadi malikul adil. Hamzah Fansuri served Sultan ‘Ala al-Din Ri’ayat Shah Sayyid Mukammal (1588-1604). Shamsuddin al-Sumatrani served at the court of Sultan Iskandar Muda until his death. Nurdin Ar-Raniri, during his tenure at the Acehnese court, also functioned as the political advisor to the ruler, Sultan Iskandar Muda. Saby points out,

Nurdin was the first ‘alim in Aceh Darussalam to give a fatwa on the legitimacy of women as rulers. He defended his position vigorously against the opposition of the ‘ulama of the Hijaz, to whom the most crucial religious problems were referred. Nurdin’s stand on this matter is historic, yet it remains controversial.

Finally, Abdur Rauf Singkel was appointed as qadi for Sultan Taj al-‘Alam Safiyatuddin Shah. As mentioned above, he dedicated his book on Islamic jurisprudence, entitled Mir’at al-Tulla, to Sultan Taj al-Alam Safiatuddin Shah. He also served the court and validated the shari’ah ruling regarding the legitimacy of female rulers in Aceh. Without his approval, female succession could have been jeopardized. However, in the sixteenth century the mufti of Mecca in the name of Islamic law and orthodoxy issued a fatwa that forbade women from attaining the position of sultan.

It is safe to say the ulama of the Aceh Sultanate regarded themselves as free to interpret the shari‘ah according to their assessment of the needs of the time and the particular situation. In fact, the intervention of Mecca through the fatwa rigidified Islamic legal thought
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68 Syed Muhammad Naquib Al-Attas, A Commentary on the Hujjat al-Siddiq, p. 7.
70 Yusny Saby, Islam and Social Change, p. 68.
71 Azyumardi Azra, Menuju Masyarakat Madani: Gagasan, Fakta, dan Tantangan (Bandung: Rosdakarya, 1999), p. 29.
73 Azyumardi Azra, Menuju Masyarakat Madani, p. 29.
74 Yusny Saby, Islam and Social Change, p. 72.
in Aceh. The polemic in Aceh was not based on Islamic teaching, but only on political interests. It was apparent to most that such a fatwa could have been issued while Abdur Rauf Singkel was in office; those who opposed having women as rulers appear to have waited for his death, and they acted promptly.\textsuperscript{75}

The ulama, besides serving as mufti or qadi malik ul adil, also wrote many books. The first book on fiqh in Indonesia, \textit{Sira\textsuperscript{al}-Mustaqim}, was written by Nurdin ar-Raniri.\textsuperscript{76} Composed in Malay, this work was begun in 1634 and completed seven years later. It deals with the science of practical judgments pertaining to religious practice (fiqh), but treats only those aspects concerned with devotional duties (\textit{al-\‘iba\textsuperscript{da\textsuperscript{at}}}). Practical duties (\textit{al-mu\‘amalat}) were treated later by ‘Abdur Rauf Singkeli (d.1639) in his \textit{Mir\textsuperscript{a}t al-Tulla\textsuperscript{b}}. In addition, this book was printed in the margin of the \textit{Sabi\textsuperscript{al}-Muhadid\textsuperscript{n}} of Muhammad Arshad in Mecca in 1892, and reprinted many times.\textsuperscript{77} Indeed, it was written by Nurdin at the request of Sultan Iskandar Sani who wanted the Acehnese people to know about Islamic law. Another book is \textit{Mir\textsuperscript{a}t al-Tulla\textsuperscript{b}} by Abdur Rauf Singkeli, which, according to Azyumardi Azra, “is the first book in Malay-Indonesian dealing with \textit{Fiqh al-mu\‘amalat} and concerning social, political, economic, and religious aspects of Muslim life.”\textsuperscript{78}

There is also a book of fiqh, a compilation of fatwas, entitled \textit{Muhimmat al-Nafa\textsuperscript{f}i\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{i}ras\textsuperscript{a}‘ilat al-h\textsuperscript{ad\	extsuperscript{a}}\textsuperscript{i}} (the precious gems explaining questions about current topics). According to B.J.O. Schrieke, as quoted by Nico Kaptein, \textit{Muhimmat al-Nafa\textsuperscript{f}} is an Arabic Malay collection of fatwas published in Mecca in 1912 AD by the Acehnese ‘Abd al-Salam ibn Idris; it discusses the issues submitted by Indonesians to the authority of the Meccan experts during the previous half century.\textsuperscript{79}

During the early seventeenth century many Acehnese went to Mecca both to perform the hajj and to study; as a result, the Haramayn connection became important for Acehnese people. Anyone who
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\textsuperscript{75} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{76} Martin van Bruinessen, \textit{Kitab Kuning Pesantren dan Tarekat: Tradisi-Tradisi Islam di Indonesia} (Bandung: Mizan, 1999), p. 113.

\textsuperscript{77} Syed Muhammad Naquib Al-Attas, \textit{A Commentary on the Hujjat al-Siddiq}, p. 25.

\textsuperscript{78} Azyumardi Azra, “\textit{Tanbih\textsuperscript{al}-Mas\\textsuperscript{i}y}: Otentisitas Kepakaran Abdurraftu\textsuperscript{a}rung Singkel,” in Oman Fathurrahman, \textit{Tanbih\textsuperscript{al}-Mas\\textsuperscript{i}y} (Bandung: Mizan, 1999), p. 15.

\textsuperscript{79} Nico Kaptein, \textit{The Muhimmah al-Na\textsuperscript{a}‘\textsuperscript{i}: A Bilingual Meccan Fatwa Collections for Indonesian Muslims From the end of the Nineteenth Century} (Jakarta: INIS, 1997), p. xii.
studied in Mecca went back to Aceh to teach at dayah, where students learned Islamic teachings, especially Islamic law; they eventually became the ulama in the archipelago. For centuries the ulama of Aceh have obtained their knowledge of religion from traditional religious schools, that is, from menasah rangkang bale (small places for studying Islam in villages).

**Shari'ah and Adat Law in Aceh**

By and large, the people of Aceh believe that adat and shari'ah should take their places side by side in their country. C. Snouck Hurgronje quotes Acehnese proverbs that say, “Hukum (law) and adat are inseparable, even as God’s essence and his attributes,” and “Hukum and adat are like the pupil and the white of the eye; the hukum is Allah’s hukum and the adat Allah’s adat.”

Both adat and shari'ah became sources for implementation of Islamic law for the Acehnese people. In Acehnese society, adat is seen as a way to understand and to implement shari'ah. Before the coming of Islam, the Acehnese were influenced by Hinduism and Buddhism. After Islam arrived in the region, it replaced these traditions with Islamic teachings. And the counterparts to adat that control the lives of Muslims in other parts of the Islamic world, such as the Bedouins of Arabia, the Egyptians, the Syrians, are for the most part different from those of the Acehnese, Indonesians, and Malays. The relationship of these local traditional laws to the law of Islam, however, and the tenacity with which they maintain themselves, are similar.

---

Furthermore, adat plays an important role in Acehnese society. The administration of the kampong (village) is ruled by the adat system based on shari'ah. The kampong is administered by three offices, namely, the keuchik, the teungku, and the ureung tuha. The keuchik is the headman, and the Acehnese used to call him “the father of the kampong.” The teungku is “the mother of the kampong” and Mukti Ali states that although the keuchik devotes himself more particularly to maintain the adat, the promotion of godly living among his people is also regarded as part of his duty. Consequently, the upholding of the hukum is the teungku’s specialty, although a knowledge of and regard for the adat are also regarded as indispensable. The ureung tuha, which is the equivalent of “elders” are the men of experience, worldly wisdom, good manners, and knowledge of adat in the kampong. They are generally persons who have reached a certain age, but a younger man who displays these characteristics is equally eligible to be an ureung tuha.

In the daily life of the society, these leaders work together in many ways according to Islamic teachings. The center of these activities is the meunasa (Ar. madrasa), which the place of prayer and Islamic education. The meunasa also serves as a sleeping place for men, a rest house for strangers, and a place of assemblage on various special occasions. It is there that the affairs of the kampong are debated, village festivals held, contracts of marriage concluded, etc.

It is safe to say that shari’ah and adat in Aceh are in harmony with one another, since all Acehnese people believe adat is part of shari’ah, not against it. This system only became subject to question when the Dutch colonized Aceh and substituted it with their own system. Dutch

88 Ibid., p. 12.
90 Ibid., p. 13.
91 See also Yusny Saby, Islam and Social Change, p. 110.
scholars like Hurgronje maintain that adat should be separated from shari‘ah because adat belongs to ulee balang or umara (leaders) and shari‘ah belongs to ulama. The Dutch in fact promoted adat while at the same time trying to eliminate the implementation of Islamic values. As a result, the Dutch paid attention only to the ulee balang, not to ulama. The consequence of this strategy is that shari‘ah is seen as different from adat. Secularization began in Aceh when Hurgronje suggested that religion and politics be separated.

**The Nature of Conflict and the Issues**

The implementation of Islamic law in Aceh before the coming of European colonialists went well. After the Europeans arrived in Aceh, they substituted their law in replacement for the traditional and legal system in Aceh. The Portuguese entered Aceh with their mission of spreading Christianity in the archipelago. According to Lutfhi Auni, the religious response of the Acehnese to the Portuguese is difficult to ascertain since there is no single work of the sixteenth century ulama of Aceh on that matter. Furthermore, the discourse of Islamic law or Islamic teachings becomes questionable when the Dutch arrived in Aceh. Hurgronje writes:

> At the time of the coming of the Dutch to Aceh there were numerous schools throughout the country; and it is a notorious fact that on more than one occasion the students from these schools threw themselves, practically unarmed, upon the bayonets of the Dutch troops.

> These were youths inflamed to fanaticism by the teaching they had imbibed in regard to the holy war and the reboundless recompence here after awaiting the martyr to his creed, without his being called on to render further account of his actions in this world. In estimating their contempt for death, however, we must reflect upon the fact that at that time the most fearful rumours were current in Aceh as to the tortures which would be the lot of anyone who fell alive into the hands of the kafirs.

---


As for the elimination of Islamic law, Hurgronje acknowledges the
theory of receptie that Islamic law could only be effective and binding
upon Indonesian Muslims if it were consistent with or derived from
customary law, the adat. The living law for Indonesian people was,
therefore, seen as being rooted not in religious law but rather in
customary law.96 He says that the domestic institutions of that area are
the main reasons for conflict with religious law.

After independence on August 17, 1945, Aceh became a part of
Indonesia. In this context, the Indonesian government headed by
Sukarno declared that Indonesia is not an Islamic state and would not
implement Islamic law.97 The reason he gave was that the Indonesian
archipelago is inhibited by various ethnic, social, religious, and cultural
groups, each of whom retains their customs and ways of life. Embracing
this pluralism, the Republic of Indonesia coined the official
motto: “Bhinneka Tunggal Ika,” or “Unity in diversity.”98

In the post-colonial era, several groups of laws survived the
transition from the Dutch colonial government: (1) laws governing all
inhabitants, e.g. the law on industrial property and patents; (2)
customary law, which applied to indigenous Indonesians; (3) Islamic
laws applicable to all Indonesian Muslims; (4) laws tailored to specific
communities in Indonesia, such as the marriage law for Indonesian
Christians; and (5) the Burgelijk Wetboek and the Wetboek van Koophandel
measures, originally applied to Europeans only, but later extended to
cover the Chinese.99

After Indonesia’s independence in 1945, Aceh was granted
provincial status under a military governor. In 1951, Aceh was part of
North Sumatra with the status of a residency. The people tried to
regain the status of province, and in 1959 their aspiration materialized
following a mission assigned by the prime minister to solve this
problem. There was a legal basis related to the establishment of Aceh:
first, Law No. 24, 1956 on the establishment of Aceh province;

96 Akh. Minhaji, Ahmad Hassan and Islamic Legal Reform, p. 56.
97 See Saifuddin Anshari, “Islam or the Pancasila as the Basis of the State”, in Ahmad
221-228.
98 Ratno Lukito, Islamic Law and Adat Encounter, 2001, pp. 76-77.
99 Ratno Lukito, Law and Politics in Post Independence Indonesia, 1999, p. 69. See
also, Daniel S. Lev, Islamic Courts in Indonesia: A Study in the Political Basis of Legal
second, a decree of the prime minister of the Republic of Indonesia, No.1/MISSI/1959 conferring special status upon the province of Aceh in relation to the exclusiveness of its religion, education, and traditions (adat); and, third, Law No. 574 on the Principle of Regional Administration (wherein the status of Aceh as a Special Region was confirmed).100

Daud Beureueh, the leader of DI/TII of Aceh, carried out a revolt against the Sukarno regime using a radical strategy. On September, 21, 1953, he declared that Aceh should be an Islamic state and should not be subject to Indonesian law or secular law.101 This rebellion ended when the Indonesian government sent Col. M. Jassin to persuade him to rejoin Indonesia. Eventually, Daud Beureueh agreed to rejoin and was exiled by the government to Jakarta.102 As a result, Aceh was given the status of special region, with the right to implement Islamic law in 1959. However, this promise, which had been approved by the government, was not implemented.

Unfortunately, the feeling of the Acehnese people that they are not a part of Indonesia still continues. The rebellion lead by Daud Beureueh inspired other groups which claim that Aceh is not part of Indonesia. In 1976, Hasan di Tiro, the president of Aceh Sumatra National Liberation Front (ANSLF), declared that Aceh should be an independent state. But he did not proclaim an Islamic state or the desire to implement Islamic law for Aceh. What he wanted to do in Aceh was to bring a classical nationalism to the Acehnese people.103

Another factor contributing to popular support for independence has been unhappiness over the unequal distribution of benefits from the large industrial enterprises and plantations along Aceh’s east coast. The discovery of substantial reserves of natural gas near

---
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Lhokseumawe, Aceh Utara, in the early 1970s, led to the establishment of large extraction and processing facilities, as well as associated industries.\textsuperscript{104} This became one of the reasons for Hasan di Tiro’s promotion of the idea of Aceh Merdeka. In fact, in 1976, Hasan di Tiro failed to win the tender contract at PT. Arun. As a result, “he saw that the benefits of this factory would not go the Acehnese people.”\textsuperscript{105}

As Hasan di Tiro wrote in \textit{The Price of Freedom},

\begin{quote}
I have had a medium of success in the business world because I had entrée to highest business and governmental circles in many countries… I have close business relationships with top 50 US corporations in the fields of petrochemicals, shipping construction, aviation, manufacturing and food processing industries.\textsuperscript{106}
\end{quote}

After returning to Aceh at the end of 1976, Hasan emerged at the military camp at Panton Weng, where he stayed November 1-29, 1976 and called on selected Acehnese leaders to meet him. “The first order of things,” he said, “is to make the Acehnese opinion leaders understand the political process in which our people and our country are involved: we are in the process of being swallowed by the Javanese colonialists and being put to death as a nation, so that the Javanese can inherit our land.”\textsuperscript{107}

Hasan’s stance had major implications for the revival of Acehnese historical consciousness. He also gave certain Acehnese leaders and his followers his books so that they could understand Acehnese history. GAM viewed the Javanese as the “real Acehnese enemy,” since they colonized Aceh following the Dutch. Soon the organization had spread throughout the country, especially on Sumatra. Hasan maintains that the people already knew that the Javanese were their enemy, but no one reported the matter to the Indonesian Javanese regime which they all detested. This situation demonstrates the gap between the people of Aceh, Sumatra and the “Indonesian-Javanese colonialist
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regime”.108 Hasan also contends that the Javanese Indonesia was an illegally constituted state that had been maintained by using terrorism as a national policy.109

In other cases through 1989 and 1990 the government and military authorities insisted that the violent disturbances in Aceh were the work of criminal gangs, and that they had no political motivation. Yet, the perpetrators were soon identified as members of the “Security Disruptors Movement” (Gerakan Pengacau Keamanan, GPK), a government-coined term generally used to describe rebel movements.110 In recent years, the government has also referred to GAM as an “armed civilian gang” (Gerombolan Sipil Bersenjata, GSB).111 In 2003, however, the government began labeling GAM as a “terrorist group.”112

While GAM has been in existence since 1976, only in the last three years has it developed a significant popular base, a steady source of arms, and a relatively well-organized command structure.113 The membership of its armed wing, Angkatan Gerakan Aceh Merdeka (AGAM) has been estimated at between 15,000 and 27,000 people, but they have only a few thousand modern firearms.114 It was reported that “some of GAM’s membership was trained in Libya. In 1989, over one hundred Libyan-trained GAM guerillas returned to Aceh with rudimentary military training to try to give the then-moribund rebellion a new lease on life.”115

To curb this rebellion, President Suharto declared Aceh an area of military operation (Daerah Operasi Militer, DOM). Many Indonesian army personnel were sent to Aceh to fight GAM, both in villages and forests. Through 1989 and the first half of 1990, some 6,000 territorial


110 “Shock Therapy”.


forces normally stationed in the region were mobilized to conduct counter-insurgency operations against the GPK. In July 1990, Suharto ordered the deployment of a further 6,000 troops, including two battalions of the Army’s Special Forces Command (Komando Pasukan Khusus, Kopassus), and other elite counterinsurgency units.\textsuperscript{116} The number of Indonesian troops increased each year. In 1999, it was reported that police strength was estimated at 3,000. The armed forces number some 7,000-8,000 troops, including 1,000 marines.\textsuperscript{117} The outcome of this maneuver was that over a thousand Acehnese people were killed in the first three years of operations. It was reported that 871 were killed outright by the army, and 378 were missing and later turned up dead. More than 500 others are listed as “disappeared” and have never been found.\textsuperscript{118}

The operations, however, ended in 8 August 1998, and General Wiranto, the commander of Indonesia’s armed forces, said that the government apologized to the Acehnese people. Indonesia’s socio-political situation had serious implications on the conflict of Aceh, because its government was very weak in 1998-1999. As result, since 1999 there have been many independence organizations in Aceh concerned with finding solutions to this problem.

One of the government’s options to solve the conflict is to give Acehnese people the right to implement Islamic law. To do this, the government has offered several alternatives both in Banda Aceh (the capital city of Aceh) and Jakarta. According to some scholars, the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh will solve the problem there\textsuperscript{119} because of the fact that Islamic law has been implemented in Aceh since the first coming of Islam.\textsuperscript{120} This was perhaps the government’s
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reason for granting special autonomy through the Law No. 44 of 1999 allowing Aceh to implement shari’a precepts in its cultural and educational affairs.\(^{121}\)

During former President Abdurrahman Wahid’s administration, four days before he was impeached, the national parliament passed the Law No.18 of 2001 conferring special autonomy for the province of Aceh Special Region as the province of Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam.\(^{122}\) This law was due to be implemented on 1 January 2002 and it represented an important development in Jakarta’s Aceh policy approach as it sought to convince the Acehnese to remain part of Indonesia by granting them considerable power of self-governance. The NAD law was formally ratified on 9 August 2001 by President Megawati, who described the legislation as her government’s “main pillar for conflict resolution” in Aceh.\(^{123}\)

The key provisions of the law, are the following: first, considerably increased revenue sharing of income from natural resources; second, the use of regional symbols and flags, although not as symbols of sovereignty; third, direct elections of the governor and vice-governor—but not before August 2006—and of regents and vice regents; fourth, the appointments, but not dismissals, by the central authorities of the provincial police chief and the head of the provincial prosecutor’s office, must by approved by the governor; and fifth, the establishment of a shari’a court.\(^{124}\) It was also stated that the main purpose of implementing shari’a was to uphold the basic tenet of Islam about justice by delivering social welfare (maqaṣid al-shari’a) to the Acehnese people.\(^{125}\)

### The Dynamics of Implementing Islamic Law in Aceh

Following the right of implementation of Islamic law in 2002, launched in Aceh, there are two groups that support this movement

\(^{121}\) ICG, “Aceh: Can Autonomy Stem the Conflict”, p. 10.

\(^{122}\) Michelle Ann Miller, “What’s Special About Special Autonomy in Aceh”, paper presented at Conference on the Historical Background of the Aceh Problem, Asia Research Institute, National University Singapore, 28-29 May, 2004, p. 11.

\(^{123}\) Michelle Ann Miller, “What’s Special About Special”, p. 11.


and two that oppose it. The supporters list many reasons why Aceh should implement Islamic law. The first is the fact that historically, Aceh has, in fact, already implemented Islamic law for many years. According to this opinion, any other legal systems are irrelevant because they were not based on the Acehnese tradition and history which are colored with Islamic teachings. It is claimed that the Indonesian legal system is not compatible with Acehnese traditions because it had not been used from the time of the coming of Islam until Aceh became a province of Indonesia.

Second, the implementation of Islamic law is one way to resolve the conflict of Aceh. This view is argued by members of parliament in Jakarta when giving their overview on the issue of implementation of Islamic law in Aceh. The faction from the Indonesian army fully supports the bill of special autonomy for Aceh. But they propose that legal penalties in Aceh must be based on the Indonesian legal system. The PDI-P also supports this draft, because solving the conflict of Aceh requires not only a military approach but also a religious one. Moreover, the Acehnese elites, who were elected in June, 1999, were not critical of local members of parliament. ICG reported that this group is not particularly representative of Acehnese opinion in general, since many of its members are based in Jakarta rather in the province. It is also said that the poor and less educated majority mistrusted the legislators, particularly the provincial parliament.

The third reason cited by supporters of Islamic law is that this law represents the demand of Acehnese society. Thus, they argue, that ever since Aceh became part of Indonesia, the people felt that they were no longer Acehnese because they were following secular law in Indonesia.

---
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Consequently, when the government granted the right to implement Islamic law, the Acehnese people felt that they became “more Acehnese.”

Fourth, the implementation of Islamic law is supposed to eliminate moral decadency in Aceh, in the form of practices like corruption, collusion, and nepotism (KKN), or Korupsi (Corruption), Kolusi (Collusion), and Nepotisme (Nepotism). This view holds that KKN in Aceh is very widespread among local government officials. When I visited many offices in Banda Aceh and Lhokseumawe, I noticed that the civil servants look forward to large-scale projects. They routinely increase the budget, adding more rupiahs to each project. Some proponents felt that Islamic law would eliminate such practices in local government.

On the other hand, there are arguments against the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh. First, Islamic law in Aceh is claimed to be a step toward formalizing and symbolizing Islamic teaching. These views come from Islamic liberal thinkers in Jakarta, especially from the Liberal Islam Network (Jaringan Islam Liberal, JIL) and Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) youth. According to Azyumardi Azra, there is no state in the Muslim world that could be adopted as a model for the implementation of Islamic law. Consequently, he is very skeptical about the possibility of this movement succeeding in Aceh. Moreover, he refers to the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh as

---
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merely a taken “gift” from the government for historical romanticism.\textsuperscript{139} 

Second, implementing Islamic law in Aceh will not solve the problem of conflict in Aceh.\textsuperscript{140} According to this view, the most important means of solving problems in Aceh is through the empowerment of civil society and socio-economic life. This view also argues that the implementation of Islamic law is only concerned with symbolic practices,\textsuperscript{141} such as the use of Arabic-Malay calligraphy on government offices and streets. In fact, Islamic law is not the answer to the war in Aceh.\textsuperscript{142} 

The third argument against Islamic law contends that its application in Aceh focuses only on women’s issues.\textsuperscript{143} The most controversial issue of the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh is the position of women, including wearing \textit{jilbab} (a long coat or cloak; more generally, any type of outer-garment that covers from the shoulders to the ankles). Nurjannah Ismail, a gender activist in Aceh, does not agree that the movement only concern on women.\textsuperscript{144} She maintains that “Islamic law is about handling women’s matters” and “Islamic law does not mean that the men can do anything to women, including enforcing issues of \textit{aurat}.” This term refers to the part of a person’s body that must be covered when in the presence of anyone but a spouse; for men this is from the navel to the knees, for women from the upper chest to the knees.\textsuperscript{145} However, Marlinda Puteh, wife of the Aceh ex-governor, argues that women hold a high position in Islamic
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as pillars of the state, and consequently, the first step in promoting Islamic law in Aceh is to have Acehnese women to wear *jilbab* in the public sphere to demonstrate that they are true Muslims.\(^{146}\)

In reality, there were many sweepings carried out by Wilayatul Hisbah, an institute for *shari‘ah* Police in Aceh, involving women who wore tight clothing instead of *jilbab*. Al-Yasa Abubakar, who is the head of the *shari‘ah* division and a scholar at the State Institute of Islamic Studies (Institut Agama Islam Negeri, IAIN) in Banda Aceh, argues that this is not sweeping, but only popularizing the implementation of Islamic law in the city.\(^{147}\) Marlinda also supports this action, because *shari‘ah* will succeed if women begin to present a good image of Aceh, and this starts with women’s dress code.\(^{148}\)

Commenting on this opinion, Suraiya Kamaruzzaman, a women activist and the founder of Flower Aceh in Banda Aceh, says that those interpreting Islamic law — *ulama*, the military, and civilian authorities— have emphasized matters of individual worship. As a result, it appears that the implementation of *shari‘ah* in Aceh has focused mainly on issues such as *jilbab*, the Friday prayer, and fasting during Ramadan.\(^{149}\)

The methods of implementing Islamic in Aceh involved many steps and regulated many laws and *qanuns*, as follows: (1) Law No. 44/1999 that gives Aceh the right to determine matters relating to religious and cultural affairs, education and the role of *ulama*, (2) Law No. 18/2001, granting Aceh special autonomy as the province of Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam, (3) Regional Regulation No. 5/2001, concerning the framework of the application of Islamic law, and (4) Regional Regulation No. 33, discussing the *Shari‘ah* division in Aceh.

The most basic step toward using Islamic law in daily life would be in education. Alyasa Abubakar says that the law will not be implemented if the Acehnese people don’t become better educated. The first program to society is not to promote the law, but to educate the public about what the *shari‘ah* is. If they understand the real *shari‘ah*, it will not be necessary to regulate and promote the law because the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh is aimed at practicing Islamic
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teaching in a holistic manner (kaffah). That is why, in Aceh, as Alyasa maintains, the purpose of Islamic law is not to discuss the *bijhu* and so on, but rather, it is for the purpose of education. This idea is also supported by Yusuf Hassan, an Islamic leader in Banda Aceh, who says that the implementation of *bijhu* will take place in the next 60 years, that is, after society becomes better educated and understands the real *shari‘ah*. In implementing this law, however, the government encountered several conflicting situations. After the NAD law was passed, GAM demonstrated its rejection of autonomy by increasing its attacks on state facilities. The conflict also led many Acehnese government officials at the village and district levels to either abandon their offices or to reach some sort of arrangement with GAM members in GAM-controlled areas. GAM’s founding father, Hasan di Tiro, dismissed Jakarta’s plans to introduce Islamic law as “irrelevant” because “the struggle of the Acehnese people never had anything to do with *shari‘ah*.”

**Conclusion**

As the historical overview portion of this study has demonstrated, Aceh has implemented Islamic law since the coming of Islam. Traditional Islamic education played an important role in disseminating Islamic law to the people. In Aceh, Islamic law was eliminated first by colonialists and later by the national government of Indonesia, respectively. I would like to argue that what the government means by Islamic law is *bijhu* law. Thus, when the issue of Islamic law arose in Indonesia, it meant implementation of *bijhu* law, which is a fatal misinterpretation. In fact, Islamic law (*fiqh*) is a human product of legal reasoning (*ijtihad*), as I elaborated above. The implementation of Islamic law in Aceh became a political issue for the elites. In Indonesia, the political elites made the issue of Islamic law in Aceh a strategy to
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reach conflict resolution as well as to win national and local elections in Aceh.\textsuperscript{154}

It is worth noting that the so-called “Islamic law” in Aceh is perceived by many as h\textit{ij}\textit{mu}\textregistered; law. Nevertheless, this law has not yet been implemented in this province. However, some rules have been passed, based on Islamic teaching for the community, for example the checklist or \textit{razia} by police in the street and public areas (such as cafés). The same holds true of Aceh, where the government has attempted to promote the Arabic script, especially for office logos. It is safe to say that the provincial government and its emphasis on symbolic religious issues (such as the Islamic dress code and usage of Arabic signs and letterheads), and its introduction of public lashings for petty offences like the sale and consumption of food during \textit{Ramadhan}, are creating an image of \textit{shari}\textregistered;\textregistered; based more on violence than on social justice.\textsuperscript{155}

Moreover, in Aceh the issues surrounding the application of Islamic law are much more complex. On the one hand, the Islamic law movement began after independence, when Acehnese leaders declared Aceh to be an Islamic state. On the other hand, the issue of Islamic law became a means of solving problems in the Aceh conflict. Here, the government realized that the implementation of Islamic law in Aceh was an aspect of special autonomy. In Aceh, however, the issue of Islamic law is only a means of resolving conflict and gaining government support. But, in fact, there is a serious effort on the part of the Indonesian government to help the local government implement Islamic law. In Aceh, non-Muslims supported the need for implementation of Islamic law, saying that they understood that Acehnese society had implemented Islamic law for hundreds of years.\textsuperscript{156} But they urged that Islamic law apply only to Muslims.\textsuperscript{157}

In summary, this study has provided the dynamic nature of the interpretation of Islamic law in Aceh. This province has not been able to apply Islamic law successfully because of many challenges, both external and internal, it has faced. At the same time, it has revealed Muslims’ responses to the modern era, especially their rejection of
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secular law. Finally, the study has made evident that implementing Islamic law is never a good method of attempting to resolve conflict. There is no need to establish Islamic law formally through the political process because, when politics enters the religious arena, it carries with it many vested interests.
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