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Abstract: ISIS (Islamic State of Iraq and Syria) which was declared by al-Baghdādī as an Islamic caliphate (dawlah khalīfah) is not necessarily welcomed by Muslim fundamentalists in Indonesia. Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI) and Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI), two Muslims fundamentalist organization that struggle for Islamic caliphate, considers ISIS as deviant and invalid. This article aims to discuss the argument surrounding religious foundation of Islamic caliphate as believed al-Baghdādī as ISIS mastermind and the response of Indonesian fundamentalists to it. While all Islamic fundamentalism believes that establishment of Islamic caliphate is a religious obligation, they do not agree how to implement this obligation. ISIS’s al-Baghdādī is on the opinion that Islamic caliphate is to be implemented with all costs, including using violence and military campaign, Indonesian fundamentalists tend to use non-violence and dialogue as their strategy instead.
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Introduction

The emergence of Sunnite Islamic fundamentalist group of ISIS (Islamic State of Iraq and Syria) under leadership of al-Baghdādī has stunned the world, including the Muslims. They wage the armed resistance against Iraq and Syria that happen to be controlled by Shi‘ite regimes. This sudden and fierce military campaign of ISIS has made the two regimes incapable of containing their advance. Piece by piece, the territory of Iraq and Syria were captured by ISIS. In this territory, al-Baghdādī declared the emergence of al-Dawlah al-Islāmiyyah fi ‘Irāq wa
al-Shām (The Islamic State of Iraq and Syria). As the event unfolded, it turned out that ISIS intends to expand its territory. This means further threat to Iraq and Syria, the two states suffered from internal political and sectarian turmoil, as well as the rest states in the region.

The ISIS phenomenon then spread to Muslim-populated states in other regions, thanks to internet and other media. In Indonesia, since August of 2014, the government was made busy in dealing with jihad movement that claimed to be affiliated to ISIS. In Pasuruan of East Java, one person named Salim Mubarok al-Tamimi al-Indonesia claimed to be an army chief of ISIS. Furthermore, an Indonesian citizen named Wildan Mukhallad was reported to have died in suicide bombing attempt in Iraq. National agency for contra terrorism stated that there are 56 Indonesian citizens joining the armed ISIS.

This development arouses various reactions and comments from the government and Muslim groups in Indonesia. The ministry of Law and Human Rights denounced the spread of ISIS understanding of Islam in Indonesia and quickly banned the ISIS in Indonesia since it has understood Islam as totality and struggled for Islam with any available means including violence and crimes. It wages war not only to the rest of Muslims who they think to have deviated from their understanding of pristine Islam but also to the West who in many cases they consider to have causes bad fate on Muslims worldwide. In addition to that, the government also banned ISIS because it has

applied a version of Islamic law, especially criminal law, which is not suitable to aspiration of majority Muslims in Indonesia.

On the other hand, however, Indonesia is not sterile from Islamic fundamentalism phenomenon. Among Islamic fundamentalism group in Indonesia are Hizbут Tahrir Indonesia (HTI) and Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI). For them,\(^6\) ISIS should theologically be responded because ISIS has claimed that its goal is to establish and Islamic caliphate which is also the ultimate goal of HTI.\(^7\) That immediate answer is that the Islamic caliphate that ISIS is now campaigning is substantially contradictory to sharia. Therefore, HTI refuses to acknowledge ISIS as an organization that struggle for the establishment of Islamic caliphate.\(^8\)

The position of HTI is considered odd because HTI believe that the establishment of caliphate is arguably more crucial and ultimate than observance of Islamic dogma. For HTI activists, caliphate is the ultimate goal.\(^9\) This is apparent in every HTI handbooks, indoctrination sessions and seminars. HTI Bulletin also always talks about *khilāfah Islāmiyah* (Islamic caliphate). In all mass rallies arranged by HTI, regardless the issue, caliphate is the one and only solution.

In addition to HTI, Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI) that struggle for Islamic state also considers ISIS’s Islamic caliphate as heretical.\(^10\) MMI argues that to implement sharia, which is the ultimate God’s command, the establishment of an Islamic state is a prerequisite. Since the idea of implementing sharia of the main goal of MMI, its opposition to ISIS’s caliphate is difficult to understand.

\(^6\) Those two groups are labeled as extreme right by the government. Tholhatul Choir and Ahwan Fanani (ed.), *Islam dalam Berbagai Pembacaan Kontemporer* (Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2009), p. 431.


This phenomenon shows that caliphate contains conceptual and applied problem. This debatable concept of caliphate intensified when ISIS caliphate was declared and Indonesian Muslim fundamentalist groups oppose it.

**Historical Glance of ISIS Movement**

The emergence of ISIS was triggered by the aggression of the United States to Iraq that ended with the fall of Saddam Hussein regime in. This event created hatred of many Arab Muslims toward the US. On the other hand, this already hateful condition provided fertile ground for al-Qaeda network to recruit new followers in Iraq. Some analysts speculated that al-Baghdādī’s ISIS was one of these al-Qaeda’s newly formed cells. Hence, after the destruction of al-Qaeda as a consolidated group in Afghanistan, many dismissed al-Qaeda. Some small active cells that launched terror attacks here and there in the conflict areas might had similar patterns to al-Qaeda, but not officially affiliated to it.

In the violence-invested area of Northern Iraq, the population is Sunnite Muslims and Saddam Hussein’s loyalists. Their resistance towards the central government is understood as an agenda to return the power to the Sunnite Saddam Hussein’s loyalists. This is because the reigning regime is Shiite, and the Iraq President, Nūrī al-Mālikī is a Shiite. Thus, another dimension of the civil war in Iraq and Syria is the repetition of sectarian conflict in Middle East between Sunnite and Shiīte as it had happened in 2006-2007 which claimed thousands of lives.

The violence in the 2000s still lingers in Arab minds, be they Sunnite or Shiīte across the Middle East. For Sunnite, this not so long

---

past failure and current subordination under two Shiite rulers in Iraq and Syria might inspire the emergence of another jihadi group who try to overturn the history. Thus, Islamist groups such as *Jamā’at al-Tawbīd wa al-Jihād* under leadership of al-Zarqāwī seized the momentum to launch his jihad campaign.\(^\text{15}\)

Although the US successfully assassinated al-Zarqāwī group who were actively engage in jihad campaigns since 2005, the resistance from militant groups continued in Iraq. After al-Zarqāwī’s assassination, Abū Ayyūb al-Masrī resumed the resistance. He, in October 2006, declared the establishment of *Dawlab Islamiyah fī Irāq* or *Islamic State of Iraq* (ISI). In 2007, the 2.250 volunteers from Northern Syria and Chechnya under leadership of Abū ‘Umar al-Shishānī joined ISI.\(^\text{16}\) This bolstered the strength of ISI to tremendous extent.

Meanwhile, on April 19\(^{\text{th}}\), 2007, Abū ‘Umar declared the establishment of *Dawlab Islamiyah fī Irāq* or *Islamic State of Iraq* (ISI). This was followed by the announcement of cabinet members consisting of 10 persons. Abū ‘Umar was deputy chief in al-Qaeda, who in this establishment became the chief commander of ISI. In April 2010, both Abū Ayyūb al-Masrī and Abū ‘Umar were killed near Tikrit, Iraq. After their death, the ISI leadership was resumed by Abū Bakr al-Baghdādī who was sworn to assume the position since May 15\(^{\text{th}}\), 2010.\(^\text{17}\)

In addition to rebel movement led by al-Baghdādī in Iraq, in last decade Sunnite ulama in Iraq is also active in arranging peaceful actions demanding equal rights by forming Sunni Ulama Front. The Iraqi government did not listen to their demands which create voices within the Front to support ISI. Although at the end the Front did not officially support ISI, it is publicly known that the Front justified ISI’s campaigns simply because it was the only resistance that could bring solution in dealing with the fanatic Shiites.\(^\text{18}\)

From the events that unfolded after the fall of Saddam Hussein, many Muslims in the region believe that the US is the most responsible one for the cycles of violence. The US has planted time bomb in Iraq,

---


\(^\text{16}\) Ibid., p. 330.

\(^\text{17}\) Ibid.

they say. In the neighboring country of Syria that is ruled by another Shiite, the Sunnite uprising began. Sunnite militants rooted in Ḥizb al-Tahrīr joined with those Sunnite not satisfying with al-Mālikī. However, not all Sunnite groups supported the ISIS declaration, because their initial goal is merely criticizing non-accommodation policy adopted by al-Mālikī.19 Al-Baghdādī’s ISIS is more appealing than al-Qaeda. They valued al-Baghdādī as a brilliant military tactician.20 Al-Baghdādī’s personal prowess then unchallenged after his success in expanding the territory of Islamic State of Iraq (ISI) and renamed it as Islamic State of Iraq and Levant (ISIL).21 On the other hand, ISIS jihadi groups originating from Syria have returned to Syria and formed Jabhat al-Nuṣrah with the mission of opposing Bashar Assad.22

It is on that context that ISIS emerged. ISIS is a logical consequence of socio-political instability in the Middle East. Jihad campaigns that were deployed were continuation of the ultimate goal of Muslim revivalists-fundamentalists in establishing dawlah Islāmiyyah (Islamic state). ISIS now gradually becomes new emerging force that ready to pose resistance on ruling powers in the Middle East that they consider incapable of realizing the mission to establish an Islamic state and implement shari'a comprehensively. Given this goal has been shared by many Muslims worldwide, the support for ISIS or at least their ultimate goal will, and already spread to Muslim populated countries beyond the Middle East, including Indonesia.

Caliphate as the Ideological Basis of ISIS

The caliphate that ISIS establishes represents a fundamental ideology,23 an ideology that is based on the doctrine that is built upon the assumption of pure early period of Islam. Admittedly, this doctrine is not new in Islamic political history. The spirit of reform of this movement seeks for purity of Islam and idealizes an Islamic political

21 Levant is other name for Syam which is composed of Syria, Lebanon and Palestine. This declaration consists of statement that Jabhat al-Nuṣrah (JN) is a part of ISIS/ISIL. See Ali, Al-Qaeda, p. 330.
22 Ibid., pp. 338-339.
entity for Muslims based on the political practices of the Prophet Muhammad. In al-Baghdādi’s point of view, ISIS is the manifestation of ideal Islamic political entity that is directed to work on reforming Muslims’ thought and implementing sharia founded upon pristine Islamic doctrine. It is the anti-thesis of West-sponsored democracy. Like other religious movements that blame on outsider for own misfortune, al-Baghdādi believes that Muslims’ current poor condition is as a result of colonialism and global capitalism. For that reason, their resistance is aimed at neo-liberalism and capitalism of the US. As it has been campaigned by Osama bin Laden, this is laid upon the assumption rest on early Islamic political practices.\(^4\) ISIS militia rebelled against al-Mālikī in Iraq dan Assad in Syria because they believe that the two regimes are collaborators of the West and therefore must be rejected at all cost.\(^5\)

This world view actually is rooted in Islamic modern thought that has the goal to the glory of early Islam by rejecting innovation in religion which is already corrupted from its original spirit. They denounced the last Islamic Caliphate of Ottoman because it had deviated from their version of pure Islam. Thus, they intend to create own caliphate.\(^6\)

This reform of Muslim thought is an effort to deal with the backwardness and poverty of muslim world as well as to provide roadmap for Muslims to return to pristine teaching of Islam. This movement had been flourished in the second part of IX century CE. The main mastermind was Jamāl al-Dīn al-Afghānī, Muḥammad ‘Abduh, and Rashīd Riḍā. Later, Ḥasan al-Bannā’ and Sayyid Quṭb were highly responsible for al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn’s ideology. The thought the last two personalities were dyed with anti-West sentiment.\(^7\)

Ideology speaking, ISIS phenomenon has similarities with al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn that deeply involved in the political turmoil in

\(^4\) Ali, Al-Qaeda, pp. 16-17.


\(^6\) Ibid.

\(^7\) Hasan al-Bannā’ (1906-1949 M) was a charismatic and influential Egyptian Muslim who found al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn 1928 di Ismailiyah, southeastof Cairo, Egypt. His movement spread in Muslim world. See Anouar Abdel-Malek (ed.), Contemporary Arab Political Thought (Bath: The Pitman Press, 1980), p. 45.
Egypt since the latter opposed the British occupation and the creation of Israel on Palestinians’ land.\textsuperscript{28} Al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn believed that the political establishment that founded upon Arab nationalism had failed, because it blatantly imitated European countries which had separated religion and politics. For al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn, the West did not provide enough space for Christianity to regulate profane affairs, whereas in al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn’s mind, the ideology of Islam could not be realized if not applied as the rule for all aspects of life. It meant creating regulation based on Islam for all human affairs.\textsuperscript{29}

In the discipline of \textit{fiqh siyāsah} (Islamic political science), the thought of al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn is frequently referred as the pattern for contemporary Islamic fundamentalism movement in Muslim world,\textsuperscript{30} including ISIS. As other fundamentalism movement, al-Baghdādī’s followers intend to establish Islamic state and apply sharia for that state. To achieve this goal, they refuse to make dialogue and other peaceful means, except with those who agreed with their goals and swear to be loyal with ISIS caliphate with \textit{bay'ah}. As a result, their only means is violence and terror which they call jihad.\textsuperscript{31}

Under the banner of jihad, this militias under the command of al-Baghdādī marched force fully to fight the Iraqi and Syrian regimes whom they consider not applying sharia. After those militias captured some areas from Iraq and Syria, they declared ISIS and applied strict sharia, notably Islamic criminal law along with its hand amputation for thieves, stoning for adulterers, and crucifying those who not performed Ramadan fasting.\textsuperscript{32} They consider their approach as the only true in applying Islam. Other Muslims who do not agree and

\textsuperscript{28} In the 1940s, al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn aunched series of violent attacks targeting the government facilities. As a result, King Farooq of Egypt banned its activities in 1948. Al-Ikhwān Muslimūn’s goal was to establish Islamic state in Egypt. See Munawir Sjadzali, \textit{Islam dan Tata Negara: Ajaran, Sejarah dan Pemikiran} (Jakarta: UI-Press, 1993), p. 145.

\textsuperscript{29} M. Imdadun Rahmat, \textit{Arus Baru Islam Radikal: Transmisi Revivalisme Islam Timur Tengah ke Indonesia} (Jakarta: Erlangga, 2007), p. 46.


Indonesian Fundamentalist Groups

oppose ISIS are considered infidels and apostates.\textsuperscript{33} ISIS caliphate stresses that after it has been declared, the only obligation for Muslims is to swear the loyalty and obey.\textsuperscript{34}

ISIS believe that only rightful authority can wage and lead jihad and the first thing of jihad is waging war against non-Muslim states to purify Islam. Other muslim resistance groups are considered unauthorized representation of Islam. For ISIS, HAMAS of Palestine are apostate and have no right in waging war against Israel.\textsuperscript{35} Too, ISIS attacked Shiite sects, Yazidi minorities, Kurds, and certainly non-Muslim in the nearby areas. Those other Muslim groups are forced to pay obedience to ISIS, whereas non-Muslims are given the choice of converting to Islam, paying tax, or leaving the territory occupied by ISIS and give all properties to ISIS.\textsuperscript{36}

Simplistically speaking, ISIS is a true manifestation of caliphate that represents a political ideology that concerns with Islamic fundamentalism agenda. However, it can not be considered as political institution. At least, ISIS phenomenon reflects caliphate institution that had been dreamed by those extreme fundamentalists. ISIS constructs the Islamic political heritage into reality. As an Islamic caliphate, they also use jihad to justify violence, killing and war to implement sharia. Predictably, ISIS’s caliphate is not warmly welcomed by the rest Islamic fundamentalist groups for some reasons. Initially, those groups speak about normative aspects about caliphate, but at the end, it is obvious that the talk is about political contestation with various interests and goals.\textsuperscript{37}

\textsuperscript{33} http://id.wikipedia.org/wiki/Negara_Islam_Irak_dan_Syam, accessed on 28 November 2014. Al-Baghdâdi claimed that Muslim youths have the aspiration to establish Islamic state in form of caliphate since they consider caliphate the ideal form of Islamic state.


\textsuperscript{36} Muhammad, \textit{ISIS}, pp. 49-53.

\textsuperscript{37} When ISIS declared its caliphate, it recited the Quran (24:55 and 61:4) which contain the promise of God Almighty for the believers and those who do good deeds. The Quran (61:4) is verse contain the injunction to do jihad. HTI justify the obligation of establishing caliphate on the Holy Quran (5:48-49) which oblige Muslims to use God’s law to regulate life. This verse necessitates God’s law guardian, who in HTI understanding is a caliph. Fundamentalist Muslims also often cite several verses of the
From the perspective of Islamic political science, there are some theoretical frameworks concerning caliphate. Among the earliest is Ibn Taymīyah who expresses his reservation about caliphate even though there are several textual references in the Holy Quran. This reference concerns the concept of governance, authority, and umma. Still, Ibn Taymīyah does not deny the importance of government, but he relates it with the conception of human as political being shaped by history. Thus, from the perspective of Islamic political sciences, governance in this sense is more historical and the product of tradition, not textual reference as believed by ISIS.

Based on this point of view, caliphate is a concept that emerges from Islamic political tradition. Thoha Hamim also acknowledged this point. He argues that caliphate concept is not laid upon strong and conclusive textual foundation. There is no template of caliphate system that can be applied universally across time and space (one size fits for all). The Holy Quran and prophet tradition do not determine system and form of caliphate institution, let alone the organizational structure and philosophical underpinnings. Since the period of the Prophet Muhammad, Muslim community had been living under political entity with various naming and features. Thus, caliphate is a historical property, not sharia.

Some Muslim intellectuals try to prove that the first and second Bay'at al-'Aqabah, the agreement between the Prophet with the Madinah’s representative of ‘Aws and Khazraj clans, was the first cornerstone of Islamic polity. Yet, the Islamic polity is oriented in the

---

Holy Quran (4:58, 59, and 65), (5: 103), and (23:51). In addition, there are also several verses they cite. Medieval Muslim scholars Ibn Abī Rabī’ Ulama cited (6:165) and (4: 59), Ibn Taymīyah cited (4: 58-59). However, those verses do not specifically speak about caliphate and political establishment.

41 According to Rais, the two Bay'at al-'Aqabah were similar to social contract that was conceptualized by some modern political philosophers and considered as foundation for the establishment of nation state and government. See M. Dhiauddin Rais, Teori Politik Islam, translated by Abdul Hayyie al-Kattani (Jakarta: Gema Insani Press, 2001), p. 7.
chiefdom of Madinah, not *khilāfah*, Bay'at al-‘Aqabah, this agreement is considered an important feature in Islamic political sciences.\(^{42}\)

This classic evidence shows that caliphate in Islamic political sciences do not have normative reference, since it evolved\(^{43}\) and manifested in various forms and concept. Forms and criteria of caliphate, indeed, emerge from history. Based on this understanding, it can be understood that caliphate is social institution that functions as a changeable institution following social change across time and space. By analyzing caliphate history, establishing caliphate that is not deeply rooted in current reality is contradictory to the very feature of caliphate as social institution.

**HTI and MMI, The Islamic Fundamentalist Movement in Indonesia**

Many observers categorize Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI) and Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI) as Muslim fundamentalist groups. This is understandable since according to William Montgomery Watt religious fundamentalism is an English term to signify Protestants who believe that the Bible should be accepted and interpreted literally.\(^{44}\) Thus, in the context of Islamic movement, groups who accept the message of the Holy Quran literally, although only for some select verses, might be related to the term of considered fundamentalists. HTI and MMI has aired the discourse of and campaigned for the implementation state-level of sharia comprehensively. So, the two groups can be considered of having literalistic interpretation and bear the name of fundamentalism.

HTI predictable is affiliated to *Hizb al-Taḥrīr* established in 1953 by Taqiy al-Nabhānī (1909-1979) in al-Quds Palestina.\(^{45}\) The activities of


\(^{43}\) This change affirms the opinion that caliphate is an ever-changing institution since it is a social institution. This can be viewed from different attributes of the caliphs, namely *khaliṣat as-Salīl, amīr al-muʾminīn, sūlān, shah, prime minister, president in* in different time and space. These attributes do not mean subordinate to caliph, instead, they have connotative meaning of symbolic authority. Bernard Lewis, *Political Language of Islam* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988), p. 51.


this HTI is Islamic politics and ideology. HTI officially declared its existence in Indonesia by holding an international conference in national stadium of Senayan.\textsuperscript{46} HTI utilizes internet in campaigning its Islamic ideology in Indonesia and in communicating with its head quarter in Jordan. This last point serves HTI as a method for updating information, thought, ideas and latest ideological discourse in \textit{Ḥizb al-Tahrir} worldwide, but especially of the Middle East.\textsuperscript{47}

The discourse that HTI builds is that Muslim life in Indonesia is not Islamic because the political and legal frameworks are not Islamic. Indonesian legal system is based on Dutch legal system on which HTI considers secular and therefore not Islamic. This not Islamic system has contributed to poor condition of social condition in Indonesia. So many breaches of law are not punished.\textsuperscript{48} To HTI, this signifies the incapability of the current not Islamic legal system. The only cure is by replacing the current legal system with Islamic legal system.\textsuperscript{49}

HTI only acknowledges the only authority of God’s law and fights for its comprehensive implementation to include both private and public aspects of law. This is as a sign of Muslims’ total obedience to Islam. To realize this ideal legal system, HTI proposes Islamic caliphate. Thus, HTI calls for the return to the pure teaching of Islam.\textsuperscript{50}

Apart from HTI, there is Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI). MMI is an Indonesian Islamic movement organization that is actively campaigning for the implementation of sharia within the existing political arrangement. MMI was declared on 7\textsuperscript{th} of August 2000 in a conference called mujahidin congress. This conference was held to respond unfavorable policies of the Old Order and New Order regimes that had curtailed Muslims’ interest in Indonesia. for MMI, Muslims in Indonesia are in the state of backwardness since the independence of Indonesia in 1945. The only solution of this backwardness is the implementation of their version of sharia.\textsuperscript{51} Sharia, in

\textsuperscript{46} Afdlal et.al, \textit{Islam dan Radikalisme di Indonesia} (Jakarta: LIPI Press, 2005), pp. 265-266.

\textsuperscript{47} Rahmat, \textit{Arus}, p. 78.

\textsuperscript{48} Afdlal et.al, \textit{Islam}, p. 269.

\textsuperscript{49} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{50} Ibid., pp. 269-272.

MMI understanding is based on normative and textual reading of the Holy Qur’an and Prophet tradition.

The goal of MMI establishment as revealed in its Yogyakarta Pledge is five folds. The first is the obligation of Muslims to observe sharia. The second is opposition to any other ideologies that are contradictory to Islamic teaching, since it will result in idolatry and hypocrisy, as well as will result in violating human rights. The third is building a strong front of mujahidin, in local, national and international levels. The fourth is to establish an ḫā′ṣa (khilāfah) or Islamic political leadership worldwide. The fifth is to call for the intensification of Islamic da’wa and jihad to realize Islam as raḥmah li al-‘ālamīn (blessing for mankind).\(^52\)

The essence of these five mission statements is the idea of implementing sharia by the state and establishing Islamic caliphate. According to Abdul Aziz, the desire to estanlish Islamic state is the prerequisite of the implementation of sharia. MMI formulated this idea by referring to the efforts conducted by several Indonesian Muslim hardliners of Negara Islam Indonesia (NII).\(^53\) However, given the different context and resources, MMI tend to be more lenient in term of absence of military options. Considering its ultimate goal to establish Islamic state in Indonesia, many MMI sympathizers hope that MMI will become the true successor of NII.\(^54\)

After describing HTI and MMI in detail, it is safe to say that both HTI and MMI have the inspiration to implement sharia and to establish Islamic caliphate to safeguard the implementation of sharia. These two organizations are campaigning for the pure Islam and exclusive in responding other understanding of Islam. This attitude arguably is originated in their scriptural and literal reading to the Holy Quran and Prophet tradition.

Responses of Indonesian Muslim Fundamentalists on ISIS’ Caliphate Claim

After the demise of Saddam Hussein in Iraq, many Muslims believe that the next step is reconciliation and rehabilitation of all


\(^{53}\) Aziz, *Politik*, p. 100.

aspect of life. However, the succeeding leadership under prime minister Nūrī al-Mālikī has failed to do this. Corruption in his administration and the conflict between the ruling Shi‘ite and Sunnite increasingly escalate. Sunnite who are excluded from the existing political arrangement feel that they are treated unfairly. This helps the increase of ISIS popularity among Iraqi Sunnite Muslims.

Banking on that context, Sunnite Muslims are divided whether to support or oppose ISIS. Several Sunnite clerics blatantly oppose ISIS, such as Adnan al-Aroor and Abu Basir al-Tartusi even call ISIS as terrorists and do not represent Sunnism. The accuse ISIS as Khawarij and anti-Islam. Worldwide Muslim cleric association (IUMS) led by well-known Egyptian Yusuf al-Qarḍāwī also issue a fatwa (non-binding opinion) stating that ISIS declaration of caliphate as not valid from the perspective of sharia.

Not only moderate Muslims who oppose ISIS, the opposition to ISIS is also expressed by Muslim fundamentalist groups in Indonesia. HTI responds ISIS declaration of caliphate as not valid because it did not meet four requirements. The first is that ISIS does not have autonomous territory. The current occupied territory of ISIS is still legally belongs to Iraq and Syria. In addition, the territory is occupied by force, so that it does not belong to ISIS de jure. The second, and still related to the first, is that Iraq and Syria as the rightful owner of territory still demand the territory to be returned to them and do so by force. Thus, ISIS can not guarantee the security of Muslims living in that territory. The third is that ISIS is unable to implement sharia comprehensively (kāffah). The fourth is that a caliphate should be led by a caliph who is a Muslim, adult, male, freeman, sane, capable and just.


56 According to Fealy and Bubalo, al-Qarḍāwī is influential Muslim scholar with far reaching audience. His ideas are broad, topical, contemporary, and easy reading. Al-Qarḍāwī’s ideas are often referred to by Muslim activists. See Fealy and Bubalo, Jejak, p. 66.


Ismail Yusanto, the spokesperson of HTI, stated that ISIS never showcases its concept of sharia in the aspects of politics, economy, legal, and the rest of sharia aspects.\textsuperscript{59} So, it is hard to determine the soundness of ISIS’s sharia. In addition, ISIS caliphate remains hidden even though it has declared its existence in 2007. This mechanism contradicts the practice that had been laid down by the prophet Muhammad in Madinah caliphate. Moreover, HTI insists that caliphate should be achieved by peace and da’wa, not by force as ISIS has done.\textsuperscript{60} ISIS’ caliphate is a distortion of the true meaning of caliphate and excludes the rest of Muslims who oppose it. Thus, HTI considers that ISIS’s caliphate is illegitimate.

Similar to HTI’s point of view, MMI also considers ISIS’s caliphate as illegitimate. Muhammad Thalib, a leader of MMI, says that ISIS has spread lies under the name of caliphate. The appointment of al-Baghdādi as caliph was not conducted in procedural way and this can trigger conflict among Muslims. In addition, ISIS considers other Muslims who oppose its caliphate as infidels. MMI is on the position that whoever calls Muslims to approve him as caliph without mushāwarah (consultation), as al-Baghdādi did, is heretic.\textsuperscript{61}

The opposition of HTI and MMI toward al-Baghdādi’s declaration as caliph does not necessarily means that the two groups differ significantly to ISIS. In fact, HTI, MMI and ISIS share ideological features in many respects, but differ in term of method and strategy. For a start, HTI and MMI do not use force and military campaign in their activity. Instead, they employ persuasive approach. To make things more complicated, there are sharp difference as well between MMI and HTI. MMI tends to engage in academic and political discourse. Its main priority is implementation of sharia and the emphasis of the establishment of caliphate comes second. MMI asserts that sharia will be well-implemented by the state when three features are realized. The first is that Muslim leadership of the country must be assumed by Muslims who are committed to the implementation of sharia. The second is that state policy must always correspond with


sharia. The third is the presumed creation of Islamic civilization laid upon Islamic theology. It can be summed up that MMI’s main goal is comprehensive implementation of sharia. MMI believes that implementation of sharia will likely to be achieved using bottom-up strategy with the ultimate implementation of sharia in national level at the end.\(^\text{62}\)

In contrast to MMI, HTI opposition to ISIS is more political in nature.\(^\text{63}\) HTI believes that ISIS was born from internal conflict between Sunnite and Shiite in Iraq with the final objective of taking over the power from the al-Mālikī’s Shiite regime. This Shiite regime had taken over the power with the help of the United States and its allies.\(^\text{64}\) The leadership and membership ISIS are those of Saddam Hussein loyalists. Thus, from HTI’s perspective, ISIS is merely a political vehicle of those loyalists and abuse the term of caliphate as political commodity.

**Caliphate in the Perspective of HTI, MMI, and ISIS**

The main goal of ISIS’ caliphate is the creation of political authority to implement sharia in all aspects of Muslims life. In this sense, all Indonesian fundamentalist groups, including HTI and MMI, approve, because this is also their main ideological goal. However, HTI and MMI disapprove ISIS simply because of ISIS’ method and strategy. HTI and MMI oppose ISIS’ brute violence and militaristic strategy because caliphate must be founded with peace and negotiation.

Many Indonesian Muslim intellectuals has been aware of the contemporary fundamentalist movements in Indonesia. Ali Maschan Moesa, a Muslim intellectual from Nahdlatul Ulama, for instance, asserts that Islamic fundamentalism understand the religion as universal. This in the past was known as pan-Islamism. The main


\(^{63}\) The opposition of HTI and MMI to ISIS cannot be generalized to groups affiliated to Shiite. Groups such as *Hizb al-Da’wah al-Islamiyah* and other movements affiliated to it in the Middle East, *Jihād al-Islam* and ‘*Amal al-Islam* in South Lebanon, including *Hizb Allāh* is the supporters of Shiite revolutionary movement who was mobilized by Islamic Republic of Iran founded on theological philosophy of Ayatullah Khomaini’s *wilāyat al-faqīh*. See Shireen T. Hunter, *Politik Kebangkitan Islam Keragaman dan Kesatuan*, translated by Ajat Sudrajat (Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana, 2001), p. 18.

\(^{64}\) http://www.republika.co.id/berita/internasional/timur-tengah/14/08/05/n9so29-benarkah-isis-ingin-mewujudkan-khilafah, accessed on 29 November 2014.
feature of this movement is its desire to implement sharia interpreted from the sacred texts in textual-normative and scripturalistic. In this interpretation, sharia should be implemented comprehensively, not partially. Any contradicting values and culture is rejected under the pretext of against the sharia. In term of strategy and method, Oliver Roy divides the Muslim fundamentalists into two types, namely evolutionists and reformists (reformists). According to revolutionists, the islamization of the umma (Muslim community), must be done by firstly taking over the power. This group choose non-cooperative measure and refuses democracy which they consider not Islamic. ISIS falls into this category, since it uses force. Internally, al-Baghdādi’s ISIS fights against the authority of Iraq and Syria whom it considers infidels. In global level, ISIS challenges the domination of the Unites States whom it considers to be held responsible for Iraq’s political turmoil.

On the other hand, evolutionist model believes that their social and political actions are aimed at re-islamizing the umma from bottom and moving up to establish an Islamic state. Indonesian fundamentalist groups of HTI and MMI are included into this category. They do not have the plot to topple down the power and do not consider the existing political arrangements are infidel, although they also wish that the global US domination must end. They campaign for the implementation of sharia and the establishment of caliphate in transformative manner. Thus, the opposition of HTI and MMI to ISIS’ caliphate is a question of the means and method of how to get the power and how to implement sharia. It is the question whether violence, political opposition, collaboration or political disengagement should be deployed as strategy. The fact shows that when ISIS proclaimed the caliphate for a second time on June 29th, 2014, HTI and MMI opposed it and certainly disagreed with the ISIS’

---


66 Sunnite fundamentalist groups who choose to revolutionary model are represented by Taqwīm al-Qā‘īdah led by Usama bin Laden and its network, Abū Mus‘ab al-Zarqāwī ‘s group in Iraq, al-Jamā‘ah Islāmīyah in Southeast Asia and Juma Namangan movement in ex-soviet countries in Central Asia. See Rahmat, Arus, p. 18.

violence. This means they are evolutionists (reformists), clearly in the opposite of ISIS.

ISIS revolutionary movement aims at establishing caliphate and forcefully implementing sharia. This model of caliphate is different from the model that HTI and MMI use. Even though ISIS has successfully established a de facto caliphate along with caliph, territory, umma (citizens), governance arrangement, armed forces, public administration, and income resources, HTI and MMI opposed ISIS caliphate.

The reason of HTI should also be understood by observing the fact that caliphate of ISIS does not fit the criteria that HTI has outlined. The trend and interest of HTI politics should be fully comprehend. The opposition of HTI to ISIS caliphate does not concern the principles, which they both share, but it relates to detail issues or the adoption of different strategies. MMI also oppose ISIS of this unsubstantial ground. They consider ISIS as heretical simply because ISIS applied violence means in realizing its caliphate.

Regardless the difference in methods and means, contemporary Muslim fundamentalists have the aspiration to establish caliphate. This aspiration is originally inspired by the reform of Afghānī, ‘Abduh, Riḍā, and Sayyid Quṭb in the Nineteenth Century CE. Afghānī was known as salaṣī leader who directly involved in international movement against West colonialism and imperialism in Muslim world. He was known as reformist rather that political and cultural activist. Afghānī uses religious injunctions for reform and believes that the glory of Islam can be revived by returning to the basic teaching of Islam that was applied by early Muslims (al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ).

The mission of Afghānī later was carried out by ‘Abduh and Riḍā. Riḍā believes that Islam is a comprehensive religion and provides all necessary teachings for Muslims, including political, economy and

---

70 The idea of salaṣfīyah was not originally from Afghānī because there was Ibn Taymiyyah and Muhammad ʿAbd al-Wahhab who respectively in XII and XVIII CE spoke the similar ideas. See Sadzali, *Islam*, pp. 124-125.
71 After graduated from al-Azhar University, Abduh taught in Dār al-Ulām school in Egypt. Then he met Afghānī and formed a solidarity organization called *al-Urwab al-Wuthiqā* (1884). See Abdel-Malek (ed), *Contemporary*, p. 39.
social systems. To reclaim the glorious past, Muslims must not imitate the West. Riḍā’s conviction influence Ḥasan al-Bannā’ dearly, whose al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn had very negative tone for the West.\footnote{Lihat Sadzali, \textit{Islam}, pp. 145, 147. Read Abdel-Malek (ed.), \textit{Contemporary}, p. 45.}

Similar to Ḥasan al-Bannā’, Sayyid Quṭb as his successor was a sheer thinker. He outlines three thoughts that became the concept of caliphate for contemporary fundamentalist movements. The first is the creation a Pan-Islam and centralistic government across the current political boundary. The second is equal rights for all citizens regardless different religious backgrounds in which Islam guarantee the protection and safety for non-Muslims living within its boundary to observe religion. It also will guarantee equality among citizens and aims at realizing humanism and freedom of religion. The last is justice, people obedience and consultation.\footnote{Ibid.}

The aforementioned thoughts of salafī thinkers become the ideology of Sunnite fundamentalist movements, notably al-Ikhwān al-Muslimūn, regardless revolutionary or transformative. They bring salafī mission of the comprehensiveness of Islamic teaching, application of sharia, purification, and caliphate. After them, there were ‘Abd al-A’lā al-Mawdūdī, Taqiy al-Dīn al-Nabhānī, Yūsuf al-Qardāwī, and other salafī thinkers. Special account must be attributed to Afghānī who first set the principles of movement and adopted revolutionary approach. After him, there are in contrast, ‘Abduh and Riḍā were keen to use reform and transformation. In this context, ISIS is more likely to adopt Afghānī, whereas HTI and MMI are closer to, mainly, Riḍā who inclined to use transformative approach.

Broadly speaking, Muslim fundamentalist movements that have transformative approach in Indonesia tend to align their agenda with the existing context by accepting Indonesia’s political arrangement albeit reluctantly. Yet, some small groups categorically do not accept the state ideology and create tension here and there. They accept democracy as a means to achieve their ultimate goal. Whereas ISIS, it refuses all modern system, including democracy, constitution and nation state. ISIS put İslam as state ideology and official state religion. It launches jihad campaign to take over the power by all forms of
force, including open warfare. It is clearly revolutionary to the extreme.⁷⁴

Admittedly, ISIS in one side and HTI and MMI on the other side have identical underlying principles and goals, notably the establishment of caliphate. The difference lies only in their strategies in facing social and political situation. While Indonesian fundamentalist groups of HTI and MMI adopt the transformative approach with structural transition process, ISIS is revolutionary with the immediate intention of taking over the power. While HTI and MMI use gradual campaign and realistic in assessing the condition before jumping into establishing caliphate, ISIS tends to seize the moment to establish its version of ideal caliphate albeit on unfertile ground.

Conclusion

The campaign of al-Baghdādī principally is identical to those other fundamentalist groups in general. They all are on the conviction that when caliphate is established, sharia will be comprehensively applicable. They all are on the opinion that caliphate has conclusive normative textual foundation. As a result, the establishment of caliphate is a necessity. In bold and violent measure, and seizing the turmoil momentum in the region, al-Baghdādī established ISIS, Islamic State of Iraq and Syria.

Indonesian Muslim fundamentalist groups such as HTI and MMI opposed ISIS’ caliphate claim. This opposition does not concern with the main concept, principles and objective of an Islamic caliphate. Instead, their opposition lies upon different type of modes of realizing their goal. While al-Baghdādī establishes ISIS using revolution and force, Indonesian fundamentalist groups adopt peaceful and transformative da’wā (evolution).

Normatively speaking, the obligation to establish caliphate is unfounded in Islam. Instead, caliphate was deployed as a political institution, but never in vacuum. Thus, caliphate was subject of change and modification. Caliphate emerged from Muslim social improvisation that evolved in time and space. Therefore, modern political arrangements that have similar feature to caliphate should be

⁷⁴ In contemporary Shiite context, the Islamic Republic of Iran with the ideology of Islam wilāyat al-faqīh can be categorized revolutionary fundamentalist. It applied literalistic Islamic law that includes stoning for adulterers. Haideh Moghissi, Feminisme dan Fundamentalisme Islam, translated by M. Maufur (Yogyakarta: LKiS, 2004), p. 133.
considered valid. Publicly elected leadership is acceptable as the rightful authority because public consensus is legally binding in Islam.[]
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